

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,672	04/22/2004		Stephen Gibson	RNZ-30	6827
22827	7590	06/20/2006		EXAMINER	
DORITY &		•	PARSLEY, DAVID J		
POST OFFI GREENVII		1449 29602-1449		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3643	- " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
				DATE MAILED: 06/20/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/829,672	GIBSON ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
David J. Parsley	3643

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 31 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ___ a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below): (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): ___ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) I will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \times The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 13. Other: PETER M. POON

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arunments are not persuasive in that regarding claim 1, the lvy et al. reference US 4523403 does disclosea pair of T-shaped engagement members located on opposite ends thereof - see the rivets connecting the plate - at 27 to the hinge - at 28 and the frame - at 11 as seen in figure 5 where the rivets are shown being T-shaped, located on opposite ends thereof - see for example figures 1 and 5, and each of the T-shaped engagement members has at least one retaining member located thereon - see for example the enlarged end of the rivets in figure 5, and wherein each of the rails defines a slot - see the openings in which the rivets are placed in figure 5, and wherein the T-shaped retaining members are located in the slots - see figure 5, and are slideably positioned along the slots - see figure 5, where during the riveting process the rivets are slid into the slots to be positioned for proper fastening. Further, the lvy et al. reference is not used to disclose the plate is slideable along the rails. In claim 1 the T-shaped engagement members are claimed as being slideable with the rails. Therefore, this argument is moot. Regarding claims 10 and 18, the van der Zyl reference US 4696122 as modified by the Chiu reference US 5873192 does disclose apair of T-shaped engagement members - see the bolts proximate 18 in figure 2, having at least one retaining member - the nut at 18, located thereon - see figure 2, and wherein each of the rails - at 15, defines a slot - see figure 2, and wherein the T-shaped retaining members are located in the slots to effect sliding engagement between the plate-like element - at 17, and the pair of rails, and wherein the retaining members frictionally engage the rails in order to hold the plate-like element at a selected position - see for example figures 1-2 and column 2 lines 9-19, where the bolts are effected to move into and out of the slot for sliding engagement as seen in figure 2. Further, the motivation to combine the van der Zyl and Chiu references is shown in paragaraph 3 of the office action dated 3-16-06 and the motivation is found in the general knowledge of those of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not persuasive.